

ANNEX IV

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name:

Legal entity identifier:

Onemarkets Pictet Global Opportunities Allocation Fund

529900HXPLSW0P5FXM28

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes

No

It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective**: ___%

in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

It made **sustainable investments with a social objective**: ___%

It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 72.87% of sustainable investments

with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with a social objective

It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

Please note: *Pictet Asset Management used a proprietary framework to define sustainable investments. Sustainable investments were calculated on a pass/fail basis including labelled bonds, general bonds from countries that reduced CO2 emissions or implemented policies that led to meaningful improvement in reducing CO2 emissions, and securities from issuers with at least 20% combined exposure (as measured by revenue, EBIT, enterprise value or similar metrics) to economic activities that contributed to environmental or social objectives.*



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The environmental and social characteristics of this Sub-Fund included:

- **Best-in-class:**

The Sub-Fund invested in securities of issuers with low sustainability risks while avoiding those with high sustainability risks, reducing the investment universe by at least 20%.

No reference index has been designated for the purpose of attaining the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund.

- **Norms- and values-based exclusions:**

The Sub-Fund excluded issuers that have in severe breach of international norms or have significant activities with adverse impacts on society or the environment.

- **Active ownership:**

The Sub-Fund methodically exercised its voting rights and engaged with the management of companies on material ESG issues. The proxy voting and engagement process were mainly led by investment professionals across several investment teams at Pictet Asset Management, with the support of a central ESG team.

● *How did the sustainability indicators perform?*

Over the reporting period the sustainability indicators performed as follows:

- **Exposure to companies that derive a significant proportion of revenue, EBIT, enterprise value or similar metrics from economic activities that contribute to environmental or social objectives, and labelled bonds where relevant to the asset class (sustainable investments):**

72.87%

- **Exposure to revenues from economic activities that contribute to environmental objectives (EU taxonomy-aligned investments):**

0.56%

- **Reduction of the investment universe based on ESG factors**

The Sub-Fund reduced its investment universe by removing at least 20% of issuers with the highest sustainability risks and/or principle adverse impacts on society or the environment.

Sustainability risks are ESG related risk or conditions that could cause a material negative impact on the value of investments if they were to occur. Sustainability risks included but were not limited to the following: climate transition risk, climate physical

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

risk, environmental risk, social risk, governance risk. Periodic reviews and risk controls are in place to monitor implementation.

Principal adverse impacts are negative, material, or likely to be material effects on sustainability factors that are caused, compounded by, or directly linked to investment decisions and advices.

Such principle adverse impacts included among others, GHG emissions, air pollution, biodiversity loss, emissions to water, hazardous/ radioactive waste, social & employee matters and corruption & bribery.

- **Principle Adverse Impact (PAI)**

The Sub-Fund considered principal adverse impacts (PAIs) on sustainability factors mainly through the exclusion of issuers associated with controversial conduct or activities. Exclusions allowed the Sub-Fund to remove economic activities and behaviours towards international norms that have high adverse impacts on society or the environment. How exclusions map to PAIs and their related indicators is set out in Pictet Asset Management's Responsible Investment policy.

In line with Pictet Asset Management's exclusion policy outlined in the firm's Responsible Investment policy (see table above for excluded activities and applied exclusion thresholds), the fund did not have any exposure to companies that derived a significant portion of their revenue from activities detrimental to society or the environment:

Controversial activities (weighted-average company revenues, in %)*:

	Fund (%)	Reference Index
Fossil fuels and nuclear energy	0.12	N/A
Weapons	0.07	N/A
Other controversial activities	0.10	N/A
Eligible:	68.54	N/A
Covered:	99.70	N/A

**Fossil fuels and nuclear energy include thermal coal extraction and power generation, oil & gas production and extraction, shale energy extraction, off-shore arctic oil & gas exploration, and nuclear power generation. Weapons include military contracting (weapon and weapon related services), and small arms (civilian customers (assault/ non-assault weapons, military law enforcement, key components). Other controversial activities include tobacco production, adult entertainment production, gambling operation, GMS development or growth, pesticides production or retail. Exposures are based on third-party data and may not reflect our internal view. Pictet Asset Management retains full discretion over the implementation of exclusion criteria and reserves the right to deviate from third-party information on a case-by-case basis in instances where it is deemed incorrect or incomplete.*

Source: Pictet Asset Management, Sustainalytics.

In addition, the Sub-Fund excluded issuers that had exposure to:

(i) PAI 10: Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.

(ii) PAI 14: Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons).

- **Voting rights**

During the reference period, the Sub-Fund voted at 171 general assembly meetings out of 173 votable meetings (99.00%). We voted “against” (incl. “abstained” or “withhold”) at least one resolution at 38.00% of meetings.

Out of 2456 management resolutions, we voted against management on 61 items (2.00%) and abstained on 19 items (1.00%).

We supported 54 (48.00%) shareholder resolutions out of 113 proposals.

In respect to Environmental and/or Social issues we voted for 14 out of 14 management resolutions and for 28 out of 61 shareholder resolutions.

Source: Pictet Asset Management, ISS ESG

Data provided have not been verified by an external auditor or reviewed by an independent thirdparty.

- **Engagement with companies**

The Sub-Fund engaged with 199 companies as of 30.06.2025.

Data provided have not been verified by an external auditor or reviewed by an independent third party.

- **...and compared to previous periods?**

Comparison of the sustainability indicators to previous period:

Year	Sustainability Indicators	Value	Commitments
2025	The percentage of the financial product’s exposure to "sustainable investments" as defined in Article 2 (17) of SFDR	72.87%	5%
2024	The percentage of the financial product’s exposure to "sustainable investments" as defined in Article 2 (17) of SFDR	43.76%	5%

**Principle Adverse Impact (PAI) - Controversial activities (weighted average company revenues, in %)
(*):**

Year	Category	Fossil fuels	Weapons	Other controversial activities	Eligible (*):	Covered (*):	Commitments
2025	Fund	0.12%	0.07%	0.10%	68.54%	99.70%	For applied exclusion thresholds see table "Company Exclusions based on Controversial Activities and Revenue Thresholds" above. Note that our exclusion framework has been updated during 2024.
2025	Reference Index	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2024	Fund	0.00%	0.03%	0.01%	66.05%	99.59%	
2024	Reference Index	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	2025	2024
Voting rights		
Voted meetings	171	161
Total votable meetings	173	167
Share of meetings where we voted against, abstained or withhold at least one resolution	38.00%	31.14%
Total management resolutions - categorized as Environmental and/or Social issues	14	15
Votes in favor of management resolutions categorized as Environmental and/or Social issues	14	15
Total shareholder resolutions - categorized to Environmental and/or Social issues	61	66
Votes in favor of shareholder resolutions categorized as Environmental and/or Social issues	28	40
Engagement (**)	199	195

(*) For applied exclusion thresholds see table "Company Exclusions based on Controversial Activities and Revenue Thresholds" above.

() Engagement count changed. The figures are based on engagements that had a period of activity during the reporting period, solely for companies held by the fund at the end of the reporting period.**

● ***What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?***

Pictet Asset Management used a proprietary reference frameworks as well as the objectives of the EU Taxonomy to define sustainable investments.

The Sub-Fund invested in securities financing economic activities that substantially contributed to environmental and/or social objectives such as:

Environmental: Such investments represented 40.70%.

- De-carbonisation
- Efficiency & Circularity
- Natural Capital Management

Social: Such investments represented 32.16%.

- Healthy Life
- Water, Sanitation & Housing
- Education & Economic Empowerment
- Security & Connectivity

Pictet Asset Management used a proprietary reference framework as well as the objectives of the EU Taxonomy to define sustainable investments. The Taxonomy-aligned investments contributed to the objectives of climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation. Further information can be found in the relevant section below (“To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?”).

● ***How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

To prevent sustainable investments from causing significant harm to any other environmental or social objective, the fund applied the following screening criteria on a best effort basis:

(i) Exclusions of issuers that have significant activities with adverse impacts on society or the environment.

(ii) Issuers associated with high and severe controversies were not counted as Sustainable Investments. Information were obtained from third-part providers and/or internal research. ESG information from third-party data providers may have been incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable. As a result, there was a risk that the Investment Manager may incorrectly assessed a security or issuer, resulting in the incorrect inclusion or exclusion of a security in the Sub-Fund. Incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable ESG data may also acted as a methodological limitation to a non-financial investment strategy (such as the application of ESG criteria or similar). Where identified, the Investment Manager sought to mitigate this risk through its own assessment. In the event that the ESG characteristics of a security held by the fund changed, resulting in the security being sold, the Investment Manager does not accept liability in relation to such change.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— — *How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?*

The Sub-Fund monitored all mandatory and two voluntary Principal Adverse Impact indicators where we have robust data available. The quality of available data is expected to improve over time.

The Sub-Fund considered and, where possible, mitigated principal adverse impacts of its investments on society and the environment that were deemed material to the investment strategy through a combination of portfolio management decisions, exclusion of issuers associated with controversial conduct or activities, and active ownership activities.

Exclusions allowed the Sub-Fund to remove economic activities and behaviours towards international norms that have high adverse impacts on society or the environment.

Active ownership activities aimed at positively influencing the issuer's ESG performance and to protect or enhance the value of investments. Engagement was conducted by the investment team either independently or as part of a Pictet-level initiative, or was conducted by a third party.

— — *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

The Sub-Fund excluded issuers that were subject to high or severe controversies in areas such as human rights, labour standards, environmental protection and anti-corruption, or in breach of UN Global Compact Principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Sub-Fund considered and, where possible, mitigated adverse impacts that were deemed material to the investment strategy. Such adverse impacts included but were not limited to GHG emissions, air pollution, biodiversity loss, emissions to water, hazardous/radioactive waste, social and employee matters and corruption and bribery and were addressed through a combination of:

(i) portfolio management decisions

The investment team scored holdings according to an assessment of Environmental, Social & Governance risks as part of the fund's scoring framework. Scores are determined on a qualitative basis by the investment managers, informed by fundamental research and quantitative ESG data – including data on Principle Adverse Impact, ESG data provided by the companies and data provided by third-party ESG data providers. The investment team's scoring process was a key component of the compartment's portfolio construction process, determining target weights in the portfolio.

(ii) proxy voting

The Sub-Fund followed Pictet Asset Management's voting guidelines which are designed to support a strong culture of corporate governance, effective management of environmental and social issues and comprehensive reporting according to credible standards. These guidelines also seek to support recognized global governing bodies promoting sustainable business practices advocating for stewardship of environment, fair labour practices, non-discrimination, and the protection of human rights. All voting activities of the fund were logged and can be shared upon request.

(iii) engagement

Interaction with issuers took the form of one-to-one discussions, shareholder/bondholder meetings, investor roadshows and/or conference calls. The objectives of these interactions were to assess an organisation, monitor that their strategy was implemented in line with our expectations and ensure that issuers were on track to meet their goals and objectives.

Where appropriate, we engaged issuers on material ESG issues such as GHG reduction targets, governance improvements and on a range of environmental, social or governance controversies, to satisfy ourselves that they fully understood and addressed them effectively over the short, medium and long term. Our engagement activities included a combination of targeted in-house-led discussions, collaborative institutional investor initiatives, and third-party engagement services.

The proxy voting and engagement process were mainly led by investment professionals across several investment teams in Pictet Asset Management, with the support of a central ESG team.

(iv) exclusion of issuers associated with controversial conduct or activities

The Sub-Fund did not have any exposure to (i) companies that derived a significant portion of their revenue from activities detrimental to society or the environment as defined in Pictet Asset

Management’s responsible investment policy and/or (ii) companies that severely violated the UN Global Compact principles on human rights, labour standards, environmental protection and anti-corruption and controversial weapons.

#	PAI	Consideration	
		Via	SFDR asset allocation
Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies			
CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS			
1	GHG emission	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
2	Carbon footprint	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
3	GHG intensity of investee companies	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
4	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
5	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
6	Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
7	Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments

#	PAI	Consideration	
		Via	SFDR asset allocation
8	Emissions to water	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
9	Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
INDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS			
10	Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
11	Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments
14	Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical and biological weapons)	Exclusion	# 1 – E/S Characteristics
		DNSH thresholds	# 1 A – sustainable investments

Additional information can be found in Appendix C - "Approaches and Definitions under SFDR" - of [Pictet Asset Management's Responsible Investment Policy](#).



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The figures below are calculated on an average basis for the last quarter ends of the financial year of the Sub-Fund. This data includes all securities, excluding derivatives.

The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: 01.07.2024 – 30.06.2025

Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
PICTET MULTI ASSET GLOBAL OPP ZX EUR	Financial Services & Investment Vehicles	9.40%	LUX
FRANCE 2.75 11-27 25/10A	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	2.65%	FRA
ITALY 6.5 97-27 01/11S	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	2.56%	ITA
APPLE INC	Digital Economy & Emerging Technologies	2.53%	USA
MICROSOFT CORP	Digital Economy & Emerging Technologies	2.31%	USA
GERMANY 1.70 22-32 15/08A	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	2.09%	DEU
GERMANY 6.5 97-27 04/07A	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	1.95%	DEU
ITALY 5.75 02-33 01/02S	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	1.81%	ITA
NVIDIA CORP	Manufacturing & Industrial Production	1.71%	USA
FRANCE 5.75 00-32 25/10A	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	1.57%	FRA
FRANCE 4 05-38 25/10A	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	1.34%	FRA
SPAIN 5.75 01-32 30/07A	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	1.33%	ESP
VISA INC -A-	Financial Services & Investment Vehicles	1.30%	USA
RELX PLC	Telecommunications & Media	1.12%	GBR
SPAIN 5.15 13-28 31/10A	Public Sector & Supranational Entities	1.04%	ESP




What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The proportion of sustainability-related investments was 72.87%.

● What was the asset allocation?

The Sub-Fund was 97.78% aligned with E/S characteristics (#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics) and had 2.22% invested in Other (#2 Other). 72.87% were allocated to Sustainable Investments (#1A Sustainable Investments) and the remainder was invested in investments aligned with other environmental and/or social characteristics (#1B Other E/S characteristics).

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:

- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

Notes:

- *Pictet Asset Management used a proprietary framework to define sustainable investments. "#1A Sustainable", "Other Environmental" and "Social" investments were calculated on a pass/fail basis including labelled bonds, general bonds from countries that reduced CO2 emissions or implemented policies that led to meaningful improvement in reducing CO emissions, and securities from issuers with at least 20% combined exposure (as measured by revenue, EBIT, enterprise value or similar metrics) to economic activities that contributed to environmental or social objectives.*
- *EU Green taxonomy-aligned investments were calculated on a revenue-weighted basis (i.e. security weights are multiplied by the proportion of revenues from economic activities that contribute to relevant environmental objectives) and cannot be consolidated with other numbers following a pass/fail approach. Given that Pictet Asset Management's proprietary framework to define sustainable investments requires a corporate issuer to*

have at least 20% combined exposure (as measured by revenue, EBIT, enterprise value or similar metrics) to economic activities that contributed to environmental or social objectives, not all issuers with reported EU taxonomy alignment are automatically considered as sustainable investments.

Source: Pictet Asset Management, Sustainalytics, Factset RBICS, MSCI.

Comparison of the asset allocation to previous period:

Asset Allocation	01.07.2024 – 30.06.2025	01.07.2023 – 30.06.2024
#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics	97.78%	97.65%
#2 Other	2.22%	2.35%
#1A Sustainable	72.87%	43.76%
#1B Other E/S characteristics	24.91%	53.89%
Taxonomy-aligned	0.73%	0.46%
Other environmental	39.98%	14.57%
Social	32.16%	29.19%

● ***In which economic sectors were the investments made?***

Sector	Sub-sector	Weight
Conglomerates & Non-Classifiable Entities	Miscellaneous services	1.08%
Consumer Goods & Retail	Food & soft drinks	1.24%
Consumer Goods & Retail	Retail trade & department stores	3.32%
Consumer Goods & Retail	Tobacco & alcoholic beverages	0.15%
Digital Economy & Emerging Technologies	Computer hardware & networking	3.72%
Digital Economy & Emerging Technologies	Internet, software & IT services	10.21%
Energy, Utilities & Environmental Services	Energy & water supply	2.20%
Energy, Utilities & Environmental Services	Environmental services & recycling	0.41%
Extractive & Primary Industries	Forestry, paper & forest products	0.57%

Sector	Sub-sector	Weight
Extractive & Primary Industries	Petroleum/Oil and natural gas	0.21%
Financial Services & Investment Vehicles	Banks & other credit institutions	2.23%
Financial Services & Investment Vehicles	Financial, investment & other diversified comp.	3.99%
Financial Services & Investment Vehicles	Insurance companies	2.43%
Financial Services & Investment Vehicles	Investment or pension funds/trusts	9.73%
Financial Services & Investment Vehicles	Mortgage & funding institutions	0.15%
Healthcare, Life Sciences & Social Services	Healthcare & social services	0.09%
Healthcare, Life Sciences & Social Services	Pharmaceuticals, cosmetics & med. products	6.29%
Hospitality, Leisure & Entertainment	Lodging & catering ind., leisure facilities	0.81%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Biotechnology	1.71%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Building materials & building industry	1.65%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Chemicals	1.53%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Electrical appliances & components	1.71%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Electronics & semiconductors	6.45%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Mechanical engineering & industrial equip.	1.42%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Miscellaneous consumer goods	1.09%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Packaging industries	0.30%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Textiles, garments & leather goods	0.33%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Vehicles	0.16%
Manufacturing & Industrial Production	Watch & clock industry, jewellery	0.11%
Public Sector & Supranational Entities	Countries & central governments	28.44%
Real Estate & Construction	Real estate	1.95%

Sector	Sub-sector	Weight
Telecommunications & Media	Graphics, publishing & printing media	3.23%
Telecommunications & Media	Telecommunication	0.78%
Transportation, Logistics & Infrastructure	Traffic & Transportation	0.31%

Note: The sector exposure of investments is calculated in alignment with the financial statement of the sub-fund as per financial year end.

Due to data availability, we cannot rule out the possibility, that the fund had small exposure to sectors and sub-sectors of the economy that derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage, refining or distribution, including transportation, storage and trade, of fossil fuels as defined in Article 54, of Regulation (EU) 2018/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Sub-Fund made investments in economic activities that contributed to the environmental objectives as set out in the Article 9 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

EU taxonomy-aligned investments were calculated on a revenue-weighted basis (i.e. security weights are multiplied by the proportion of revenues from economic activities that contribute to relevant environmental objectives) and cannot be consolidated with other numbers following a pass/fail approach.

The EU Taxonomy-aligned investments contributed to the objective of climate change mitigation (0.51%) and climate change adaptation (0.004%). While no data was available for the contribution to the other EU Taxonomy objectives, the Sub-Fund remains committed to enhancing the data availability for the upcoming reporting periods.

EU Taxonomy alignment data were sourced from publicly available reports.

The monitoring procedures have been implemented to verify at all times compliance with Article 3 of the EU taxonomy regulation.

Data provided have not been verified by an external auditor or reviewed by an independent third-party.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy?¹

Yes:

In fossil gas

In nuclear energy



No

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective – see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflects the “greenness” of investee companies today.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



***For the purpose of these graphs, ‘sovereign bonds’ consist of all sovereign exposures**

● **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**

The share of investments made in:

- Transitional activities: 0.009%
- Enabling activities: 0.48%.

● **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Comparison of EU Taxonomy to previous period:

Asset Allocation	01.07.2024 – 30.06.2025	01.07.2023 – 30.06.2024
Taxonomy-aligned	0.73%	0.46%



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 39.98%.

These Sustainable Investments are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy because their economic activities either (i) are not covered by the EU Taxonomy, or (ii) do not comply with the technical screening criteria to achieve substantial contribution in the sense of the EU Taxonomy.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments was: 32.16%



What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The Sub-Fund’s “other” investments included cash positions primarily held for meeting daily liquidity and risk management purposes as allowed and foreseen by the fund’s investment policy. Where relevant, minimum environmental or social safeguards apply to the underlying securities.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

During the reference period, the attainment of the environmental and/or social characteristics was met by following the investment strategy and adhering to the binding elements.

The Sub-Fund’s binding elements include:

- exclusion of issuers that:
 - are involved with nuclear weapons from countries that are not signatories to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) and other controversial weapons
 - derive a significant portion of their revenue from activities detrimental to society or the environment, such as thermal coal extraction and power generation, unconventional oil and gas exploration and production, conventional oil and gas production, nuclear power generation, conventional weapons and small arms, military contracting weapons and weapon-related products and gambling operations, Pictet Asset Management's Responsible Investment policy for further details on exclusion thresholds applicable to the above activities.
 - severely violate the UN Global Compact principles on human rights, labour standards, environmental protection and anti-corruption

- ESG criteria analysis of eligible securities that covers at least 90% of net assets or the number of issuers in the portfolio

Furthermore, the Sub-Fund engaged with 199 companies as of 30.06.2025. During the reference period, engagement activities primarily focused on encouraging companies to improve their environmental and social practices in line with the Sub-Fund's promoted characteristics. Engagement topics included climate strategy, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, human rights, labour standards, and corporate governance practices. The Investment Manager's engagement actions were aimed to foster greater transparency, strengthen sustainability disclosures, and promote the adoption of credible transition plans. Outcomes of these engagements are monitored on an ongoing basis and may inform voting decisions and future investment considerations.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

No reference index has been designated for the purpose of attaining the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

- **How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?**
Not applicable.
- **How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?**
Not applicable.
- **How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?**
Not applicable.
- **How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?**
Not applicable.

Disclaimers

This publication includes information and data provided by Sustainalytics.

Use of such data is subject to conditions available at <https://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers>

The Global Industry Classification Standard ("GICS") was developed by and is the exclusive property and a service mark of Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. ("MSCI") and Standard & Poor's, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. ("S&P") and is licensed for use by [Licensee]. Neither MSCI, S&P nor any third party involved in making or compiling the GICS or any GICS classifications makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such standard or classification (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such standard or classification. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI,

S&P, any of their affiliates or any third party involved in making or compiling the GICS or any GICS classifications have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages. All rights in the information provided by Institutional Shareholder Services UK Ltd. and its affiliates (ISS) reside with ISS and/or its licensors. ISS makes no express or implied warranties of any kind and shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions in or in connection with any data provided by ISS.